

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/044,919	PARK, JIN SOO
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Terrence R. Till	1744

**All Participants:**

(1) Terrence R. Till.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) C. Druzwick.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 February 2004

**Time:** 11:15AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

27-34

Prior art documents discussed:

Nishimura et al.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
On 2/12/2004, applicant's representative was informed that claims 27-29 would be rejected under 102(b) over Nishimura et al. claims 30-34 would be objected to. It was proposed by the examiner to amend claim 27 with language to define over the prior art to make the application allowable. Applicant's representative proposed cancelling claim 30 and incorporating its limitations to claim 27. Claim 31 was amended to depend from claim 27. Applicant's representative e-mailed a copy of the proposed changes which the examiner then electronically "cut and pasted" into the examiner's amendment..